



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,505	07/08/2003	Jean-Luc Collet	FR920020050US1	9234
45095	7590	08/27/2007	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN, WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO LLC			TRAN, QUOC A	
75 STATE ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
14 FL			2176	
ALBANY, NY 12207			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/615,505		COLLET ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Tran A. Quoc		2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 16 and 17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, and 16-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This is a **Non Final** Rejection in response to the RCE/Amendment/Remarks filed on 07/19/2007.

Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 16-17 are currently pending. Claims 1, and 8-10 have been amended. Claims 6, and 11-12 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 9, and 10 are independent claims.

Effective filing date 07/9/2003, which claimed priority of (EPO) 02368077.0 filed 07/11/2002.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/19/2007 has been entered.

Claims Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 9 is directed to “A system for formatting a plurality of source text files each having specific formats to be imported into a single spreadsheet file comprising:...”. This claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. Specifically, the claimed subject matter does not produce; a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to produce a result that is limited to having real world value rather than a result that may be interpreted to be abstract in nature as, for example, a thought, a computation, or manipulated data. More specifically, the claimed subject matter provides for “A system for formatting a plurality of source text files each having specific formats to be imported into a single spreadsheet file comprising:...”. The phrase “system” is not clearly recites hardware/software, however the Applicant specification discloses, “Fig. 1 and system 100 comprises a source text file 102 to be imported into a spreadsheet file 104. The source text file is dynamically coupled to a file format profile 108 through a source file format profile manager 106...and the spreadsheet file 104- See Applicant specification Page 4, lines 10-25. This produced result remains in the abstract and, thus, fails to achieve the required status of having real world value.

In the interest of compact prosecution, the application is further examined against the prior art, as stated below, upon the assumption that the applicants may overcome the above stated rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims Rejection – 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koss (US 005231577A filed 04/06/1990) [hereinafter “Koss”], in view of Gauthier et al. (US20060053383A1 CON of USPAT 6,948,134 filed 03/27/2001) [hereinafter “Gauthier”].

Regarding independent claim 1, Koss states:

A method for formatting a plurality of source text files each

having specific formats to be imported into a single spreadsheet file,

(See, Koss, col. 1, lines 15-25 and the Abstract, teaching the “XF table index,” which is the user modifiable file format profile to be associated with source text files (i.e. attributes, such as font type font size, etc. where each cell in the spreadsheet contains an internal index which references a cell to an entry in the extended format table (i.e. XF table index).

wherein each source text file comprises a file recognized by a spreadsheet program as a text file,

(See, Koss, col. 1, lines 15-25 and the Abstract, teaching source text files (i.e. attributes, such as font type font size, etc. where each cell in the spreadsheet contains an internal index which references a cell to an entry in the extended format table (i.e. XF table index).

b) creating a source-format link between the plurality of source files and the selected plurality of file format profiles; wherein the source-format link stores a format action applied to the spreadsheet file after an importing;

(It is noted that a "source-format link" is defined in the disclosure as the link between the source text file to be imported and a selected file format profile. See, disclosure, col. 3, lines 13-21, the "source-format link" is read as any ordinary and necessary common addressing method for selecting the source document such that it can be loaded into the spreadsheet.

See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through col. 5, line 62, teaching the "source-format link" as the index within the cell to read the cell format. In the cited embodiment, the "source-format link" is made according to a default format. However, the cells may be altered later to a different format and data may also be imported after that time, meeting the limitation. See, Koss, col. 9, lines 1-5.

See, Koss, at the Abstract, teaching the each possible extended format combination is stored in an extended format table comprising a linked list of extended format combinations.)

c) importing the plurality of source files into the single spreadsheet file after creating the source-format link;

(See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through col. 5, line 62, teaching importing the source text files into the spreadsheet according to the default formatting established with the link.)

and a size of each of the imported plurality of source text files;

(See, Koss, col. 5, lines 20-25, teaching saves the size of the current XF table.)

f) applying the selected plurality of file format profiles to the imported plurality of source files by using the source-format link and the location link.

(See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through col. 9, line 8, teaching the invention, including the application of selected profiles to imported source text files using the source-format link and location link.)


Art Unit: 2176

In addition, Koss does not expressly teach, but Gauthier teaches:

the plurality of file format profiles each includes a plurality of identifiers each with a corresponding formatting instruction, wherein each identifier generates a unique action in the spreadsheet file, wherein the file format profile is configured to be used to format the single spreadsheet file;

(See, Gauthier Fig. 5 and Page 8 Para 71, teaching Microsoft Excel window 300, external data item 506.

Also, see Gauthier at Page 9 Para 73, discloses once the user has positioned the cursor over the tabular data that the user wants to import into their MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 worksheet, the user then selects the data. Typically, the data is selected by clicking a predefined button on the mouse.)



d) creating a location link between the imported plurality of source files and their locations into the single spreadsheet file after the importing; wherein the location link comprises a directory path of the source text files and contains a related location,

(It is noted that a "location link" is defined in the disclosure as the identifier of the location of the imported text file and its place in the spreadsheet. See, disclosure, page 3, lines 21-25. The "location link" is read as the ordinary and necessary location address of the data to the spreadsheet such that the data may be identified and accessed within the spreadsheet.

See, Gauthier Fig. 5 and Page 6→ 7 Para 60, teaching once the initial page is loaded, the user has the freedom to navigate to any Web page that contains tabular data they are interested in importing to a spreadsheet program by graphically hot - linking to a remote site or alternatively manually inputting the valid URL of the desired Web page.

e) storing the location link into a spreadsheet user profile database after the creating;

(See, Gauthier Page 13 Para 109, teaching once the Paste operation is completed, the tabular data is copied from the Clipboard to a location in a MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 worksheet selected by the user.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Koss method that allows user modifiable file format profile to be associated with source text files (i.e. attributes, such as font type font size, etc. where each cell in the spreadsheet contains an internal index which references a cell to an entry in the extended format table (i.e. XF table index), to include a means of creating a location link between the imported plurality of source files and their locations into the single spreadsheet file after the importing; wherein the location link comprises a directory path of the source text files and contains a related location where the plurality of file format profiles each including a plurality of identifiers each with a corresponding formatting instruction, wherein each identifier generates a unique action in the spreadsheet file, wherein the file format profile is configured to be used to format the single spreadsheet file storing the location link into a spreadsheet user profile database after the creating of Gauthier, to produce a predictable result for importing source text files into a single spreadsheet, (See Gauthier Page 2 Para 9, Page 8, Para 71, and Page 9 Para 73).

Regarding **claim 2**, Koss states:

The method of claim 1 further comprising before step a) a step of creating at least one file format profile including at least one identifier with a corresponding formatting instruction.

(See, Koss, col. 5, line 35 through col. 6, line 68, teaching the creating of a new file format profile with identifier and corresponding formatting instruction.)

Regarding **claim 3**, Koss states:

The method of claim 2 further comprising a step of storing the created at least one file format profile into a file format profile database.

(See, Koss, col. 5, lines 7-19 and col. 7, lines 16-64, teaching saving the formatted spreadsheet.)

Regarding **claim 4**, Koss states:

The method of claim 1 further comprising after step a) the step of modifying the selected file format profile.

(See, Koss, col. 7, lines 16-64, teaching modifying a selected file format profile.)

Regarding **claim 5**, Koss states:

The method of claim 4 further comprising a step of storing the modified selected file format profile into the file format profile database.

(See, Koss, col. 7, lines 16-64, teaching modifying a selected file format profile and storing it in a database.)

Regarding **dependent claim 7**, Koss states:

The method of claim 1 wherein the spreadsheet file is created by a spreadsheet program.

(See, Koss, col. 3, lines 33-46, teaching that the invention is directed to well-known spreadsheet applications.)

Regarding **claim 8**, Koss states:

The method of claim 1 further comprising after step e) a step of deleting the location link.

(It is noted that this limitation is read as un-doing the formatting such that the formatted cell returns to the default state. See, Koss, claim 8, teaching that a link may be eliminated when it is a duplicate. The term “eliminated” could reasonably be read as either moved to storage for use in un-doing the deletion, or it could be reasonably read as meaning that the link was erased. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to delete an unused location link for the obvious and beneficial purpose of removing the link without the additional processing overhead of storing the link for possible later undoing.)

Regarding **independent claim 9**:

(the rejection of claim 1 is fully incorporated. In addition, claim 9 is directed to a system to perform to the method of claims 1, which is rejected above, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

In addition, Koss teaches:

a plurality of source text files each having specific formats to be imported into a single spreadsheet file.

(See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through col. 5, line 62, teaching importing the source text files into the spreadsheet according to the default formatting established with the link.)

Regarding **independent claim 10**:

(the rejection of claim 1 is fully incorporated. In addition, claim 9 is directed to a system to perform to the method of claims 1, which is rejected above, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

In addition, Koss teaches:

formatting a plurality of source text files each having specific formats to be imported into a single spreadsheet file.

(See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through col. 9, line 8, teaching the invention, including the application of selected profiles to imported source text files using the source-format link and location link.)

Regarding **claim 16**, Koss states:

The method of claim 1, wherein the applying further comprises executing a set of formatting instructions based on the at least one identifier contained in the file format profile.

(See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through 50, teaching the formatting identifiers.)

Regarding **claim 17**, Koss states:

The method of claim 8, further comprising updating a spreadsheet user profile to correspond with the deletion.

(It is noted that this limitation is read as specifying saving a removed link, such as for possible use in restoring a deletion. See, Koss, claim 8, teaching that a link may be

Art Unit: 2176

eliminated when it is a duplicate. The term "eliminated" could reasonably be read as either moved to storage for use in un-doing the deletion, or it could be reasonably read as meaning that the link was erased. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to store the removed link for the obvious and beneficial purpose of being able to undo the removal of the link.)

It is noted that citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See, MPEP 2123.

Response to Arguments

The Arguments filed on 07/19/2007 has been fully considered but have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This office action is a Non-Final Rejection in order to give the applicant sufficient opportunity to response to the new line of rejection.

In addition, Applicant argues that Koss fails to teach "***importing multiple source text files onto th esingle spreadsheet.***" (Remarks, page 7-10).

The Examiner disagrees.

As discuss in the rejection above, Specifically Koss discloses the "XF table index," which is the user modifiable file format profile to be associated with source text files (i.e. attributes, such as font type font size, etc. where each cell in the spreadsheet contains an internal index which references a cell to an entry in the extended format table (i.e. XF table index) (See, Koss, col. 1, lines 15-25 and the Abstract,) also See, Koss, col. 3, line 47 through col. 5, line 62, teaching importing the source text files into the spreadsheet according to the default formatting established with the link.

In addition, as discuss in the rejection above, Gauthier teaching the improvement is a method over that allows a user to graphically select an instance of tabular data displayed in a Web page and import the tabular data into a MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 worksheet as a Web Query (See Gauthier Page 2 Para 9). Also, Gauthier discloses the each tabular data represents objects (icons), which could be imported into the

spreadsheet in First, the user could simply import the entire Web page or HTML file, where these icons associated with each instance of tabular data 340 and the icon for the entire Web page 335 all have at least one visual attribute, such as a color, a symbol, text, a font, or a combination of these elements associated with them (See Gauthier Fig. 5, and Page 8 Para 69.)


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tran A. Quoc whose telephone number is 571-272-8664. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM - 5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Quoc A. Tran
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2176
08/20/2007

/William L. Bashore/
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 2100